0:15-cv-00167-TLW Date Filed 11/13/15 Entry Number 28 Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

Cheryl Belton,) C/A No.: 0:15-cv-00167-TLW-SVH
	Plaintiff,)
v.)) ORDER
West Marine, Inc.,)
	Defendant.)
		_)

Plaintiff Cheryl Belton brought this action *pro se* pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.* (Title VII), alleging racial discrimination against her former employer, Defendant West Marine, Inc. (ECF No. 1.) Defendant thereafter moved to dismiss Plaintiff's hostile work environment and retaliation claims¹ pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and 12(b)(1). (ECF No. 12.) This matter is presently before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (R&R; ECF No. 25) filed by U.S. Magistrate Judge Shiva Hodges, to whom this case is assigned pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), DSC. In the R&R, the Magistrate Judge recommends granting Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss. Objections were due by September 21, 2015, and no objections were filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the R&R to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the

¹ Plaintiff's Complaint did not expressly state a retaliation claim; however, Defendant moved for dismissal to the extent that Plaintiff was attempting to assert such a claim.

0:15-cv-00167-TLW Date Filed 11/13/15 Entry Number 28 Page 2 of 2

R&R of the Magistrate Judge, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the

recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's R&R, the record in this case, and

the analysis asserted by the Defendant. Again, the Court notes that Plaintiff failed to file an

objection to the R&R recommending that Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss be granted.

Accordingly, the R&R is hereby ACCEPTED and it is ORDERED that Defendant's Partial

Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 12) is **GRANTED** for the reasons set forth in the R&R.

<u>s/Terry L. Wooten</u> Chief United States District Judge

November 13, 2015 Columbia, South Carolina